1

Case 5:16-cv-00744-BRO-LAL Document 3 Filed 04/19/16 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:20

an application is filed in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the application to the other district court for hearing and determination. Id. It is preferable for petitions challenging a conviction or sentence to be heard in the district of conviction while petitions challenging the manner in which the sentence is being executed be heard in the district of confinement. Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). In this case, it appears that Petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Bernardino County, which is in the Central District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 84. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, the petition will be transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a) and 2241(d). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1st Michael J. Seng Dated: April 18, 2016